



Public Document Pack

Cambridge City Council

WEST / CENTRAL AREA COMMITTEE

To: Councillors Smith (Chair), Kightley (Vice-Chair), Bick, Cantrill, Hipkin, Reid, Reiner, Rosenstiel and Tucker

County Councillors: Brooks-Gordon, Nethsingha and Whitebread

Despatched: Wednesday, 22 February 2012

Date: Thursday, 1 March 2012

Time: 7.00 pm

Venue: Turnstone Suite, Cambridge Rugby Union Football Club, Volac Park, Grantchester Road, Cambridge, CB3 9ED

Contact: Toni Birkin

Direct Dial: 01223 457086

AGENDA

10 PROPOSAL TO INTRODUCE A SECTION 30 ORDER *(Pages 1 - 22)*

Report attached separately. *(Pages 1 - 22)*

Information for the public

Public attendance

You are welcome to attend this meeting as an observer, although it will be necessary to ask you to leave the room during the discussion of matters which are described as confidential.

Public Speaking

You can ask questions on an issue included on either agenda above, or on an issue which is within this committee's powers. Questions can only be asked during the slot on the agenda for this at the beginning of the meeting, not later on when an issue is under discussion by the committee.

If you wish to ask a question related to an agenda item contact the committee officer (listed above under 'contact') **before the meeting starts**. If you wish to ask a question on a matter not included on this agenda, please contact the committee officer by 10.00am the working day before the meeting. Further details concerning the right to speak at committee can be obtained from the committee section.

Filming Protocol

Filming, recording and photography at council meetings is allowed subject to certain restrictions and prior agreement from the chair of the meeting.

Requests to film, record or photograph, whether from a media organisation or a member of the public, must be made to the democratic services manager at least three working days before the meeting.

Fire Alarm

In the event of the fire alarm sounding (which is a continuous ringing sound), you should pick up your possessions and leave the building by the route you came in. Once clear of the building, you should assemble on the pavement opposite the main entrance to the Guildhall and await further instructions. If your escape route or the assembly area is unsafe, you will be directed to safe areas by a member of Cambridge City Council staff.



To: West/Central Area Committee
Report by: The Director of Community Services
Wards affected: Market ward

1. Executive summary

1.1 This report seeks to gauge opinion from the West/Central Area Committee on the possible implementation of a Dispersal Order under section 30 of the Anti-social Behaviour Act 2003 to cover the centre of the City and the Grafton Area.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Committee debates the proposal having considered the attached report from Cambridgeshire Constabulary as to the merits of implementing such an Order given the current levels of disorder in the City Centre caused by members of the streetlife community.
- 2.2 That the views of the Committee are used to inform the decision of the Executive Councillor for Community Safety, prior to a formal submission from the Constabulary to the Council and any decision being taken.

3. Background

- 3.1 A Dispersal Order is implemented under section 30 of the Anti-social Behaviour Act 2003. A Dispersal Order provides the police with powers, in a designated area, to disperse groups of two or more where their presence or behaviour has resulted, or is likely to result, in members of the public being harassed, intimidated, alarmed or distressed.
- 3.2 The Executive Councillor for Community Safety can give approval to an Order but any approval must be reported to the next available meeting of the Community Services Scrutiny Committee. However, prior to this the Executive Councillor is keen that the views of Members, and others with an interest are taken into account.

- 3.3 Dispersal Orders have been put in place in the past, as short term measures, primarily to address the issues of behaviour of the streetlife community, and have been successful.
- 3.4 The proposal to implement a further Dispersal Order in City Centre is still at an early stage, the full package of analysis to determine whether or not sufficient evidence exists to satisfy the legal requirements for an Order to be made, is still taking place. However, a recent consultation with residents and traders shows considerable support for such a measure to be introduced. Once the analysis is completed it will be made available. However, an early indication as to the proposed area for the Order is included as part of the attached Police report. If an Order is subsequently approved, notification of its terms will be circulated quickly to interested parties via email and the police e-cops system.
- 3.6 Views from the West/Central Area Committee are requested to help identify whether there is support for a Dispersal Order prior to a formal decision being made by the Executive Councillor.
- 3.7 Views made subsequent to the West Central Committee meeting can be sent to the author. Please see details below.

Author's Name: Lynda Kilkelly
Author's Phone Number: 01223 457045
Author's Email: lynda.kilkelly@cambridge.gov.uk



Creating a safer
Cambridgeshire

CAMBRIDGE CITY LOCAL POLICING AREA

Consultation Paper

**Application of Section 30 Anti Social Behaviour Act
2003 (dispersal order) to the Historic City Centre and
Grafton areas**

February 2012

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to provide the Cambridge City Policing Area Commander and partners at Cambridge City Council with sufficient information in order to consider the application of a Dispersal Order (DO) under S30 of the Anti Social Behaviour Act 2003 within the Historic City Centre and Grafton areas.

A DO is sought to enhance the operational capacity of the police to respond more effectively to issues of anti social behaviour (ASB) associated with street drinking and the 'streetlife' culture which flourishes within the environment of Cambridge City. A range of data is presented in this report which indicates there is a significant problem of streetlife ASB within Cambridge City. A mix of statistics and more subjective information seeks to present this picture of life on the Cambridge streets.

The evidence from operational police on the ground is that streetlife ASB is increasing at a time of year when it is usually less prevalent. The opinion of other professionals who work in the fields of Street Outreach and Homelessness is that the numbers of rough sleepers in Cambridge is increasing and that this has had an aggravating impact on ASB. This situation is likely to deteriorate as the Spring and Summer approaches. These views are reinforced by comments from cross-sections of the community.

Whilst the police currently make good use of powers to deal with ASB, these powers are not available to all resources. For example, powers to disperse under Section 27 of the Violent Crime Reduction Act 2007 are only available to police officers and not Police Community Support Officers (PCSOs). At the moment PCSOs can only request groups to disperse – no offence is committed if they do not. However, the power to disperse under S30 of the Anti Social Behaviour Act 2003 is available to PCSOs and those who do not comply commit an offence which could lead to their arrest.

A DO would maximise the ability of the police to deploy all available resources in response to a problem which has a significant impact on the quality of life of all those affected by it. A DO would be used in a proportionate and time-bound way to focus activity which would seek to make the streets of Cambridge ones in which anti-social groups cannot become established. It would help create an environment in which streetlife culture does not thrive.

The information contained herein has been captured from a variety of sources covering the six month period ending 31 January 2012. These sources include -

- Police Command and Control system
- Police CORA (performance data)system
- PIC (Policing in Cambridgeshire) survey data – Appendix A
- Local resident and business survey data (Grafton area) – Appendix B
- Local Business survey data (Historic City Centre) – Appendix C
- Willow Walk advisory group meeting - Appendix D
- Consultative meetings/action summary - Appendix E

2. ASB over time (Source - CORA)

In the six months ending 31/01/12 742 non-crime ASB related incidents were recorded within the City West area. Of this total 86.66%, or 643 incidents, were attributable to the Market Ward. In turn the Market Ward accounted for 24.66% of the total non-crime ASB for Cambridge City as a whole.

Figure 1 – City West ASB by Ward over time




Figure 2- City West ASB % by Ward (01/08/11 – 31/01/12)





Figure 3 - City ASB % (01/08/11 – 31/01/12)



3. ASB over time (Source - Command &Control)

Interrogation of Command and Control shows that of the 643 reported incidents finalised as being attributable to non-crime ASB, 48.37% (311 incidents) demanded an emergency response.

During this same period, 264 incidents were finalised with a disposal code indicating that the matter was attributable to a crime of violence against the person. Of these 62.88% (166 incidents) demanded an emergency response.

With violence against the person as an extreme example of ASB, when taken together, ASB (non-crime) and Violence against the person (crime) disposal codes account for 906 incidents. Of these 52.66% or 477 matters demanded an emergency response.

(A further review of other call types classified as ASB but finalised with a crime disposal code, such as begging, collapse/illness, street drinking and rowdy/inconsiderate behaviour, identified a minimum of 61 further incidents)

4. ASB – Time of Day

Serving to evidence that ASB/crime related ASB is not exclusive to either the day or night time economy of note is that –

- 51.48% (331 incidents) of non-crime ASB were reported between the hours of 7am and 8pm.
- 32.2% (85 incidents) of violence against the person crime were reported between the hours of 7am and 8pm.

5. Exposure to ASB

The City centre has a significant well established residential community and also one of a more transient nature by way of the student population. It also has a thriving daytime economy in terms of local business, shops, tourism, visitors and education.

With the sheer volume of people attracted to the City during the daytime the figures at point 3 and 4 above represent a significant exposure to ASB. In addition to the numerical data, first hand and anecdotal evidence of this exposure is highlighted by way of the seven data sources identified at the beginning of this document and contained in appendices A – E.

Although difficult to quantify the potential for the under reporting of ASB is also a matter for consideration. It may not be unreasonable to assume someone visiting as a tourist or day tripper exposed to a one off incident of ASB, such street drinking or begging, as being far less likely to report it than a resident, worker or regular visitor who witnesses it on a frequent basis.

6. Conclusions and recommendations

There is a clearly identifiable issue of ASB within the area described as evidenced within this report and the application of a S30 order has the potential to have a significant effect in terms of reduction and not least public perception of the problem.

The feedback from residents and businesses in both the Historic City Centre and Grafton area are that extra powers are needed in order to impact on the Anti Social behaviour being caused by “Street Drinkers.”

Consideration has been given to the current powers available to officers including the use of powers under Section 27 of the Violent Crime Reduction Act 2006 (directing a person to leave a locality when that person is in a public place and presents a risk of crime or disorder)

The S27 power is widely employed by Police officers in the City but as the legislation does not extend to include its' use by Police Community Support Officers the potential for it to have a more significant impact on the defined ASB issue is limited in scope.

A Section 30 Dispersal Order under the Anti Social Behaviour Act 2003 which would extend powers to both Police Officers and PCSO's alike giving them the power to disperse groups of 2 or more within a defined locality if they had grounds for believing that their presence would cause harassment, alarm or distress by their presence or behaviour.

Appendix A

PIC (Policing in Cambridgeshire) survey data

Survey Month	September 2011	Total Score: 4
Council Ward where respondent lives	Market	
Noisy neighbours or loud parties...	Not a problem	
Teenagers hanging around on the streets...	Not a problem	
Rubbish or litter lying around...	Small problem	
Vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage...	Fairly big problem	
People using or dealing drugs...	Don't know	
People being drunk or rowdy in public places...	Small problem	
Abandoned vehicles...	Not a problem	
The one key issue the survey respondent would like the police to deal with	CB1 1, THE KITE DISTRICT / CAR VANDALISM / MY WING MIRRORS HAVE BEEN KNOCKED OFF THREE TIMES IN THE LAST TWO YEARS / IT HAS BEEN SCRATCHED ON 5 OR 6 DIFFERENT OCCASIONS / I CANNOT PARK OUTSIDE OF MY HOUSE SO HAVE TO PARK ON THE ROAD AROUND THE CORNER WITHIN THE KITE DISTRICT //	

Survey Month	October 2011	Total Score: 11 <b style="color: red;">High ASB
Council Ward where respondent lives	Market	
Noisy neighbours or loud parties...	Small problem	
Teenagers hanging around on the streets...	Small problem	
Rubbish or litter lying around...	Fairly big problem	
Vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage...	Fairly big problem	
People using or dealing drugs...	Very big problem	
People being drunk or rowdy in public places...	Fairly big problem	
Abandoned vehicles...	Not a problem	
The one key issue the survey respondent would like the police to deal with	I LIVE NEXT DOOR CENTRE 33 WHICH CAN GENERATE ASB AND INCREASED RUBBISH//	

Survey Month	October 2011	Total Score: 4
Council Ward where respondent lives	Market	
Noisy neighbours or loud parties...	Not a problem	
Teenagers hanging around on the streets...	Not a problem	
Rubbish or litter lying around...	Not a problem	
Vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage...	Not a problem	
People using or dealing drugs...	Fairly big problem	
People being drunk or rowdy in public places...	Fairly big problem	
Abandoned vehicles...	Not a problem	
The one key issue the survey respondent would like the police to deal with	WE ARE ADJACANT TO MIDSUMMER COMMON/THERE ARE ALL SORTS OF EVENTS HERE AND DRUG USE IS A HUGE PROBLEM/ IT HAS IMPORVED HUGLY THIS YEAR AND WE FELT MORE PROTECTED BY THE POLICE THAN WE EVER HAVE/ DRUNK BUT NOT ROUDY BEHAVIOUR/ FAIR ST/ THERE IS A REHABILITATION UNIT FOR ALCOHOL USERS NEAR US/ THERE IS EVIDENCE OF THEM ON THE STREET WITHT HE USUAL IMPLICATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THEM//	

Survey Month	November 2011	Total Score: 13
Council Ward where respondent lives	Market	
Noisy neighbours or loud parties...	Fairly big problem	High ASB
Teenagers hanging around on the streets...	Fairly big problem	
Rubbish or litter lying around...	Fairly big problem	
Vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage...	Small problem	
People using or dealing drugs...	Very big problem	
People being drunk or rowdy in public places...	Very big problem	
Abandoned vehicles...	Not a problem	
The one key issue the survey respondent would like the police to deal with	I LIVE OPPOSITE THE PROBATION OFFICE ON WARKWORTH STREET SO WE GET A LOT OF ASB FROM THAT/ THERE IS A HOMELESS SHELTER ON FAIR STREET WHICH ALSO CAUSES DRINKING IN THE AREA//	

Survey Month	November 2011	Total Score: 9
Council Ward where respondent lives	Market	
Noisy neighbours or loud parties...	Not a problem	
Teenagers hanging around on the streets...	Small problem	
Rubbish or litter lying around...	Small problem	
Vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage...	Small problem	
People using or dealing drugs...	Very big problem	
People being drunk or rowdy in public places...	Very big problem	
Abandoned vehicles...	Not a problem	
The one key issue the survey respondent would like the police to deal with	WE HAVE HUGE PROBLEMS WITH HOMELESS PEOPLE HANGING ABOUT BURLEY STREET, PARADISE STREET AND ADAM AND EVE STREET/ THEY ARE DRINKING AND USING DRUGS//	

Survey Month	November 2011	Total Score: 6
Council Ward where respondent lives	Market	
Noisy neighbours or loud parties...	Not a problem	
Teenagers hanging around on the streets...	Not a problem	
Rubbish or litter lying around...	Not a problem	
Vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage...	Small problem	
People using or dealing drugs...	Fairly big problem	
People being drunk or rowdy in public places...	Very big problem	
Abandoned vehicles...	Not a problem	
The one key issue the survey respondent would like the police to deal with	THERE IS ANTI SOCIAL DRINKING IN THE TOWN CENTRE AND ON MITCHAM'S CORNER OR STAPLES CORNER, AS I THINK THE NAME HAS CHANGED	

Appendix B (contd)

**Grafton Centre Street Surgery
24 November 2011**

Issue	Number of residents affected (32 questionnaires completed)	Details
Noise	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Street life • Young people to and from school or college • Noise from delivery lorries early morning – lorries too large •
Rowdy/drunken behaviour	21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Street life congregating in certain areas – Eden chapel steps and bench on corner of Paradise St sometimes bench in Paradise St • Intimidating behaviour • Vomiting/ urinating / Defecating • Fighting • Dogs out of control • Street life occasionally asking traders for food • Associated with night-time economy • Youths fighting • Youth ASB around Christchurch flats area
Criminal Damage/Other Crime	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Broken wing mirrors • Shoplifting – identified school kids as doing this • Stolen bikes • Vandalism to Phone Box in Fitzroy St • Poor CCTV • Bricks thrown at shop windows • Barrier that leads to Christchurch flats keeps getting broken
Graffiti	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When it appeared – removed very quickly
Rubbish/flytipping	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Littering by street life • Littering by young people to and from school (Identified as Parkside students. • Area less area cared for than in the City Centre – feels more run down and dirty • Lots of rubbish left around the back of the shops • Waste collection now bi-weekly causes an overflow of rubbish • Streetlife go through the trade bins
Drug use/dealing	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drug dealing in alleyways Burleigh St • Passage behind Fair St •
Dog fouling	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On the Green

Appendix B (contd)

**Grafton Centre Street Surgery
24 November 2011**

Other ASB		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intimidation • Chuggers • Streetlife near Jimmy's and on East RD • Rough sleepers in loading areas • Lighting too bright from Primark – needs adjusting so as not to affect residents
Fire	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bin fires • Plastic bin in Fitzroy St not safe – (traders have had to put fire out caused by cigarettes) • Christchurch Flats – lack of smoke alarms
Other comments		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quick response from Police • Good distribution of litter bins • Poor lighting on the green • Not enough independent shops • Too much student accommodation

Traders and Streets covered

Barrows	Shops and Business	Streets (flyer and/or door knocked)
Ghekko	Waitrose	Paradise Street
Hot Sausage	Café Nero	Norfolk Street
Fruit and Veg	Greggs	Burleigh St
Fitzroy Grill	Carringtons	Fitzroy St
	Starbucks	Fair Street
	Boots	Willow Walk
	Dentist	New Square
	Eden Chapel	Grafton Centre Flats
	Newsagent	
	Vintage Shop	
	Whittards	
	Timpsons	
	Fish and Chip Shop	

Appendix C

Local business survey data summary – Source material - survey returns.

During the week commencing 5 December 2011 a survey was conducted with local businesses within the historic City centre. There were 82 respondents.

The questions put to retailers were –

- Have you witnessed anti-social behaviour in the immediate area?
- How has this affected staff and customers?
- Has this had an impact on your business?
- Any other comments

53 or 65% of respondents had witnessed ASB.

38 or 46% of respondents describe effects on staff , customers or both.

28 or 34% of respondents describe an impact on business

A high proportion of those who responded as having either witnessed, or been effected by, ASB indicate that street drinkers are responsible for the anti social behaviour that takes place within the area during the day time/evening. The majority claim that it is occurring on a daily basis and is impacting on their business or enjoyment of the City.

This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix D

Source document – Willow Walk Advisory Group revised notes of meeting held on 27th September 2011

Items related to ASB raised

Anti Social Behaviour (ASB)

Noise:

City Council had a number of complaints about street-life people Citywide, but these complaints tended not to be specific, but to be about the number of people gathering and the perception that they were intimidating. This was not unusual as complaints normally went up in the summer season and tailed off in the colder weather. A local resident had the most concerns about noise from the hostel, she was not present at the meeting as she couldn't attend.

Communal Gatherings:

Currently receiving reports such as 'People gathering around Eden Chapel', and actually require more detailed information, i.e. shouting and swearing loudly, inappropriate remarks and behaviour examples towards members of the general public.

The PCSO asked if there was enough evidence for another Sec 30 and was told the evidence would come from the police. If the police thought it was appropriate they would make representations to the City Council for another Sec 30. There are none in place in the City at the moment as up to now it was considered that there was not enough evidence to warrant Sec 30.

An idea about a new gathering place possibly two was discussed in a way which was well thought out i.e. the whereabouts, it being sheltered but well lit, an area so there is less possibility of drug use and ASB. **Action Point**

PCSO stated she is constantly moving them on from the Eden Chapel step, but they were going round the side of the building instead, though she pointed out that she and the other PCSO Lee Hurley who worked that area are aware of this and check this area also and have moved them from there. She pointed out that although they were being moved on from these spots, the people causing the ASB were now sitting around the Grafton Centre pedestrian areas causing problems there (as new seating facilities have been implemented). She asked what Willow Walk staff do regarding any issues. Hostel staff work with residents and have warning procedures in place for ASB in the hostel with a view to eviction if problems continue. We discussed that Willow Walk staff previously moved our

residents on from the Eden Chapel Step but due to risk of not knowing the other people hanging about with the residents it was deemed unsafe and if any incident were to occur, staff would not be insured.

Working with the ASS Team:

Safer Communities Section and the Police liaise closely with a number of agencies working with the Streetlife community in order to tackle any ASS issues that are reported. Those found to be causing a problem may not necessarily be residents of Willow Walk, but may already be known to other agencies, making it important that residents report incidents to the Police or Safer Communities Section. (Contact numbers at the end of the minutes).

Working with the Police:

Reports were also to be made to the police on **0345456 4564** but the number **101** could be used and is easy to remember. It was mentioned that Jane Drury is managing the Street Life Team, with 6 PCSO's, 9 Police Officers and 1 Sergeant.

Working with the community:

A resident raised concerns that situations/ASS happened along Fair Street and she used her back door to exit her home rather than the front to avoid bother. Other incidents were raised such as passing of items through the windows of the hostel and shouting up to hostel residents' rooms. So it was stressed further that incidents needed to be reported in greater detail ideally via the police. Local residents discussed the litter and fouling on Willow Walk and that local residents usually do the street cleaning. We could look at hostel residents getting involved with this and would be beneficial as they would hopefully take pride in the cleanliness of the street and encourage their peers or anyone else not to foul or litter the area.

The City Council Rangers in the area can deal with graffiti and litter and drug paraphernalia, they could be contacted via the Street Enforcement Team (contact numbers at the end of the minutes). Local residents had concerns that drug paraphernalia such as needles have been found in the alley behind Willow Walk (referred to as Dolls Lane) in recent years. If any such items, needles etc, are found then it needed to be reported to the Street Scene Team for them to remove, **and no such circumstances should local residents attempt to remove/dispose such items. Action Point**

Appendix E

On the 6th October 2011 a meeting was held with the Manager of the Grafton Centre, Grafton Security, City Council and Councillors to discuss the street drinking issues in the area surrounding the Grafton Centre. Evidence was provided in the form of email by local residents detailing the impact that the street drinking was having on their lives.

On the 24th November Cambridge City Council carried out a survey with both business leaders and residents within the Grafton area of the City. The survey shows the area is being affected by street drinking, drug taking and rough sleeping. The street drinking is causing residents and customers to feel intimidated due to the behaviour of some of those taking part. There are incidents of urinating, vomiting and defecating in public areas, dogs being left out of control and fighting. Many residents are reporting that the anti social behaviour is taking place on a daily basis.

The City Council have received feedback from a resident that wishes to remain anonymous. He has stated that his family is being constantly harassed in the area of Eden Chapel. Groups of up to 12 are behaving in a loud, drunk, aggressive and intimidating manner. Their lives are being made miserable leaving them constantly worried and stressed.

On 5th December 2011 a meeting was held with Cambridge City Council, Police, Cambridge Business Against Crime and a street trader whose business is being greatly affected by the ASB within the City. The trader is requesting that action is taken to curb the street drinking which has led to the harassment, alarm and distress of his customers and staff. The difficulties faced by the trader has received press coverage by both the Cambridge Evening news and on the local BBC website

A survey has been conducted with local businesses with the historic City. This took place the week commencing 5th December 2011. 73% responded with feedback to support that daytime street drinkers are responsible for the anti social behaviour that takes place within the area. The majority claim that it is occurring on a daily basis and is impacting on their business or enjoyment of the City.

A Willow Walk Advisory Group meeting was held in Eden Chapel on 13th December 2011. Residents living around Willow Walk attended the meeting. The residents spoke of the noise and anti social behaviour in the area being caused by street drinkers. These issues had previously been raised at the WWAG meeting that took place on 27th September 2011.

Analysis has been carried out against the Command and Control Incidents over the 6 month period ending 31/01/2012

The matter has also been subject to the following action and updates under the direction of the Police Level 1 tasking plan –

- Daily tasking via DMM (daily management meeting)– feedback and detail regarding patrols from Response Officers is of variable quality.
- Here is snap shot of enforcement activities from NPT & PC Marc Thomas over the last few days which is recorded on action plan database. This illustrates the significant issues which remain endemic to the City environment. Very little of this is captured on CC3 and is self-generated via patrol work.

- 23/1 – Petty Cury – Officers attended to assist ambulance with two street drinkers. One with injuries which occurred due to inebriated state.
- 24/1 – Park St Car Park 2 people moved on from car park after complaints regarding intimidating begging.
- 24/1 – Midsummer Common Toilets – police assisted ambulance with male overdose.
- 25/1 – Fair St – 5 Street Drinkers moved on after complaints about their rowdy behaviour
- 25/1 – East Rd – Street Drinker arrested for shoplifting whilst drunk
- 26/1 – CCC Car Parks forwarded web feedback from member of the public regarding intimidating begging activity at Park St Car Park
- 26/1 – Sidney St - Street Drinker arrested for begging. Abusive towards arresting officer.
- 27/1 – email received by PC Thomas from Gonville & Caius regarding persistent and intimidating Streetlife activity in the Mortimer Rd area.
- 28/1 – from PC Marc Thomas “Woke 4 rough sleepers at rear of Parkside pool and spoke with a 5th who returned while officer still present. Accounts from those present indicate 12 people slept rough there overnight. Returned to the area of the swimming pool regarding the report of a fight, 2 people issued with s27 notices to disperse”.
- 29/1 – again from PC Thomas – moved a person from Petty Cury where he was sat next to cash machine. Sidney St/Market St –person reported for begging after complaints from Crepe man. Jordans Yard –person arrested for begging next to cash point machines. Given bail conditions not to go to Park St. Rose Crescent – arrested person for failing to comply with S27 – he was drunk and aggressive.
- Application by International Supermarket East Rd for alcohol licence refused by City Council on 23/1/2012 after police opposition due to negative impact this would have regarding Streetlife issues.
- S30 application formal consultation briefing document being prepared by Sgt Gadsby.
- City Council led meeting held 16/02/2012 to discuss pan-services impacts and general increase in rough sleeping linked to reduction of bed space.

Appendix F

Proposed Boundary Roads

Regent Street	Downing Street
Corn Exchange Street	Wheeler Street
Benet Street	Kings Parade
Trinity Street	St Johns Street
Sidney Street	Jesus Lane
New Square	Fair Street
Maids Causeway	
Newmarket Road between Maids Causeway and Elizabeth Way	
East Road between Newmarket Road and Burleigh Street (from the centre of the road back towards Grafton Centre)	
Burleigh Street	Fitzroy Street
Eden Street	Eden Street Backway
Emmanuel Road	Drummer Street
Emmanuel Street	St Andrews Road
Mortimer Road (incl rear of Parkside pool)	

Roads falling within the boundary area

All Saints Passage	Green Street
Market Passage	Market Street
Market Hill	Rose Crescent
Peas Hill	Petty Cury
Guildhall Street	Guildhall Place
Hobson Street	Sidney Sussex Street
Malcolm Place	Malcolm Street
Manor Street	Manor Place
King Street	Belmont Place
Willow Walk between Belmont Place and Emmanuel Road	
Salmon Lane	Fitzroy Lane
Christchurh Street	Napier Street
Fair Lane	James Street
Wellington Street	Sun Street
Severn Place	Grafton Centre
Crispin Place	Burleigh Place

Nelson Close